

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,

Plaintiff,

v.

AVERILL et. al.,

Defendants.

Case Nos. [24-cv-9255-PJH](#)

[24-cv-9265-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0004-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0137-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0321-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0350-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0351-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0352-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0353-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0355-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0356-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0357-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0450-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0451-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0452-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0453-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0454-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0455-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0456-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0457-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0458-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0496-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0497-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0498-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0499-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0553-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0564-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0565-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0566-PJH](#)

[25-cv-0567-PJH](#)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

[25-cv-0784-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0785-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0786-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0787-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0788-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0789-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0790-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0791-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0792-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0793-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0794-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0901-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0902-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0904-PJH](#)  
[25-cv-0905-PJH](#)

**ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE  
CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

15 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42  
16 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas  
17 petition in this court with appointed counsel. See *Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471  
18 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. See *In*  
19 *re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

20 Plaintiff presents nearly identical claims in these actions. He names as  
21 defendants various federal and state judges and other officials. He seeks relief regarding  
22 his underlying conviction or how his other cases were handled by the state and federal  
23 courts.

24 To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases,  
25 he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is  
26 “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his complaint. 28  
27 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1 No. 13-0951 CW.

2 The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent  
3 danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an  
4 IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512  
5 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S.*  
6 *District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*,  
7 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with  
8 prejudice. The court notes that plaintiff has an extensive history of filing similar frivolous  
9 cases.<sup>1</sup>

10 Furthermore, these are not cases in which the undersigned judge's impartiality  
11 might be reasonably questioned due to the repetitive and frivolous nature of the filings.  
12 See *United States v. Holland*, 519 F.3d 909, 912 (9th Cir. 2008) (absent legitimate  
13 reasons to recuse himself or herself, a judge has a duty to sit in judgment in all cases  
14 assigned to that judge).<sup>2</sup>

15 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk  
16 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: January 30, 2025

19  
20 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton  
21 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
22 United States District Judge

23  
24  
25 <sup>1</sup> The undersigned is the fourth judge assigned cases filed by plaintiff. This is the 72nd  
26 order issued by the undersigned since April 30, 2020, pertaining to 1,048 different cases.  
27 Plaintiff filed 962 other cases with the three other judges since 2011.  
28 <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff names the undersigned as a defendant in four of these cases, though presents  
no specific allegations. See Case Nos. 25-0454; 25-0497; 25-0553; 25-0789. Plaintiff  
does not seek recusal, nor is recusal warranted considering the frivolous nature of the  
cases.